UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,636	03/17/2004	Chang-Lien Wu	REAP0049USA	2635
	7590 04/18/200 RICA INTELLECTUA	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 506		KIM, HONG CHONG		
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2185		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 04/18/2007		04/18/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/18/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

Office Action Summary for Applications **Under Accelerated Examination**

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/708,636	WU ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Hong C. Kim	2185

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Since this application has been granted special status under the accelerated examination program,

NO extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be permitted and a SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE:

ONE MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER,

FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - if this is a non-final action or a Quayle action.

(Examiner: For FINAL actions, please use PTOL-326.)

The objective of the accelerated examination program is to complete the examination of an application within twelve months from the filing date of the application. Any reply must be filed electronically via EFS-Web so that the papers will be expeditiously processed and considered. If the reply is not filed electronically via EFS-Web, the final disposition of the application may occur later than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Status	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 March 2007.
2)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims
3)🖂	Claim(s) <u>13-26</u> is/are pending in the application.
	3a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
4)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>13-26</u> is/are rejected.
6)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
7)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicati	on Papers
8)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
9)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d
10)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119
11)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
• Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachmen	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date
	re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application
	r No(s)/Mail Date 3/7/07. 6) ☐ Other:

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/7/07.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/708,636

Art Unit: 2185

Detailed Action

1. Claims 13-26 are presented for examination. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 3/19/2007.

2. The status of the referenced U.S. applications must be updated accordingly (e.g., U.S. Patent Application Serial No. ##/###,### filled Sept. 07, 1990, now abandoned; ..., now U.S. Patent #,###,### issued Jan. 01, 1994; or This application is a continuation of Serial Number ##/###,###, filed on December 01, 1990, now abandoned; ...etc.) in the Related Applications section and in any other corresponding area in the specification, if any.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 3/7/07 is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2185

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

4. Claims 13-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim et al. (Kim) US Patent No. 6,781,898 or rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Chin US Patent Pub. No. 2003/0145250.

As to claim 13, Kim discloses a method for generating a linked list (col. 2 lines 8+ and Fig. 2 Ref. 170) corresponding to a memory in an electronic device (col. 1 line 23), comprises forming a linked list (Fig. 1b) for the memory, wherein each entry of the linked list corresponds to a portion of the memory (Fig. 1b); performing a built-in self test (BIST) (Fig. 2 Refs 172 and 182) on the memory to identify a first defective portion of the memory (Fig. 1b); and updating the linked list to remove from the linked list the entry of the linked list corresponding to the identified first defective portion of the memory (Fig. 3 and col. 6 lines 36-44) before the memory is completely examined by the BIST (Fig. 3, loop and decision processes (i.e. Refs 241 and 245) before Ref. 250 reads on this limitation).

Alternatively, Chin discloses a method for generating a linked list (Fig. 3) corresponding to a memory in an electronic device (block 4), comprises forming a linked list for the memory (fig. 3 and block 11), wherein each entry of the linked list corresponds to a portion of the memory; performing a built-in self test (BIST) (block 11) on the memory to identify a first defective portion of the memory; and updating the linked list (block 12-15 and Fig. 4) to remove from the linked list the entry of the linked list corresponding to the identified first defective portion of the memory before the memory is completely examined by the BIST (Fig. 4 loop between Refs. 21 and 24 and

Art Unit: 2185

Fig. 5 loop between Refs. 32 and 34. In other words, the list is updated one defective memory location at a time before termination of a self skip method in Figs. 4 and 5).

As to claim 14, Kim discloses the invention as claimed above. Kim further discloses wherein the memory being tested in the above step is used for storing the linked list (Fig. 2 Refs. 150 & 166 and Fig. 3 Ref. 222), and the above step comprises excluding the use of the deflective portion of the memory in storing the linked list (Fig. 1b, skip).

As to claim 15, Kim discloses the invention as claimed above. Kim further discloses wherein the memory being tested in the above step is a packet buffer for data storage (Fig. 2 Ref. 180)

As to claim 16, Kim discloses the invention as claimed above. Kim further discloses wherein the above step of updating is performed before the BIST performed in the above is completely through with the entirety of the memory (Fig. 3 Refs. 223 and 245 and col. 6 lines 36-45).

As to claim 17, Kim discloses the invention as claimed above. Kim further discloses after performing the step of updating, continuing the BIST in the step to identify a second defective portion of the memory (Fig. 3 Ref. 223); and

Art Unit: 2185

updating the linked list to remove from the linked list the entry of the linked list corresponding to the identified second defective portion of the memory (Fig. 3 ref. 203)

As to claim 18, Kim discloses the invention as claimed above. Kim further discloses wherein the electronic device comprising the memory is a network switch (col. 1 lines 11 and 23-24).

As to claim 19, Kim discloses a method for generating a linked list (col. 2 lines 8+ and Fig. 2 Ref. 170) corresponding to a memory in an electronic device (col. 1 line 23), comprises forming a linked list (Fig. 1b) for the memory, wherein the linked list comprises a plurality of entries (Fig. 1b) each having a first pointer field and a second pointer field (Fig. 1b), the first pointer field for storing a pointer to a corresponding portion of the memory and the second pointer field for storing a pointer to another entry of the linked list; performing a built-in self test (BIST) (Fig. 2 Refs 172 and 182) on the memory to identify at least one defective portion of the memory; and updating the linked list to remove from the linked list the entry of the linked list corresponding to the identified defective portion of the memory, so that none of the entries of the updated linked list comprises a pointer in the second pointer field that points to the entry corresponding to the identified defective portion (Fig. 3 and col. 6 lines 36-44) wherein the step of updating the linked list is performed before the memory is completely examined by the BIST (Fig. 3, loop and decision processes (i.e. Refs 241 and 245) before Ref. 250 reads on this limitation).

Art Unit: 2185

As to claim 20, Kim discloses the invention as claimed above. Kim further discloses wherein the electronic device comprising the memory is a network switch (col. 1 lines 11 and 23-24).

As to claim 21, Kim discloses the invention as claimed above. Kim further discloses wherein the memory being tested in the BIST step is a packet buffer for data storage (Fig. 2 Ref. 180).

As to claim 22, Kim discloses a method for generating a linked list (col. 2 lines 8+ and Fig. 2 Ref. 170) corresponding to a memory (col. 1 line 23), comprises forming a linked list (Fig. 1b) for the memory, wherein the linked list comprises a plurality of entries each having a first pointer field and a second pointer field (Fig. 1b), the first pointer field for storing a pointer to a corresponding portion of the memory and the second pointer field for storing a pointer to another entry of the linked list; performing a built-in self test (BIST) (Fig. 2 Refs 172 and 182) on the memory to identify a first defective portion of the memory; updating the linked list to remove from the linked list the entry of the linked list corresponding to the identified first defective portion of the memory (Fig. 1b col. 5 lines 24-38 and col. 6 lines 36-44); after the above step is completed, continuing the BIST to identify a second defective portion of the memory (Fig. 3 refs. 223 and 245); and updating the linked list to remove front the linked list the entry of the linked list corresponding to the identified second defective portion of the

Art Unit: 2185

memory (Fig. 3, loop and decision processes (i.e. Refs 241 and 245) before Ref. 250 reads on this limitation).

As to claim 23, Kim discloses the invention as claimed above. Kim further discloses wherein the memory is a packet buffer for data storage (Fig. 2 Ref. 180).

As to claim 24, Kim discloses the invention as claimed above. Kim further discloses wherein step (b) comprises pausing the BIST when the first defective portion of the memory is identified (Fig. 3, loop and decision processes (i.e. Refs 241 and 245) before Ref. 250 reads on this limitation. In other words, check for defect address and defect marking is done one location at a time).

As to claim 25, Kim discloses a method for generating a linked list (col. 2 lines 8+ and Fig. 2 Ref. 170) corresponding to a memory(Fig. 1b Ref. 103), comprises forming a linked list (Fig. 1b) for the memory, wherein the linked list comprises a plurality of entries each having a first pointer field and a second pointer field (Fig. 1b), the first pointer field for storing a pointer to a corresponding portion of the memory and the second pointer field for storing a pointer to another entry of the linked list (Fig. 1b); performing a built-in self test (BIST) (Fig. 2 Refs. 172 and 182) on the memory; and each time a defective portion is found in the memory by the BIST pausing the BIST, updating the linked list to remove an entry corresponding to the defective portion of the memory from the linked list, and then continuing the BIST on remaining portions of the

Art Unit: 2185

memory (Fig. 3, loop and decision processes (i.e. Refs 241 and 245) before Ref. 250 reads on this limitation).

Alternatively, Chin discloses a method for generating a linked list (Fig. 3) corresponding to a memory (Fig. 3 Ref. 1), comprises forming a linked list (Fig. 3 and block 11) for the memory, wherein the linked list comprises a plurality of entries each having a first pointer field and a second pointer field (Fig. 3), the first pointer field for storing a pointer to a corresponding portion of the memory and the second pointer field for storing a pointer to another entry of the linked list (Fig. 3); performing a built-in self test (BIST) (block 11) on the memory, and each time a defective portion is found in the memory by the BIST pausing the BIST, updating the linked list to remove an entry corresponding to the defective portion of the memory from the linked list, and then continuing the BIST on remaining portions of the memory (Fig. 4 loop between Refs. 21 and 24 and Fig. 5 loop between Refs. 32 and 34).

As to claim 26, Kim discloses the invention as claimed above. Kim further discloses wherein the memory is a packet buffer for data storage (Fig. 2 Ref. 180).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 3/19/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's remarks on pages 2-7 that the references not teaching updating the linked list to remove from the linked list the entry of the linked list corresponding to the

Art Unit: 2185

identified first defective portion of the memory before the memory is completely examined by the BIST is not considered persuasive.

Kim discloses updating the linked list to remove from the linked list the entry of the linked list corresponding to the identified first defective portion of the memory (Fig. 3 and col. 6 lines 36-44) before the memory is completely examined by the BIST (Fig. 3, loop and decision processes (i.e. Refs 241 and 245) before Ref. 250 reads on this limitation. In other words, the linked list is updated one defective memory location at a time before termination of a self repair test process in Fig. 3).

Alternatively, Chin discloses updating the linked list (block 12-15 and Fig. 4) to remove from the linked list the entry of the linked list corresponding to the identified first defective portion of the memory before the memory is completely examined by the BIST (Fig. 4 loop between Refs. 21 and 24, and Fig. 5 loop between Refs. 32 and 34. In other words, the list is updated one defective memory location at a time before termination of a self skip method in Figs. 4 and 5).

Therefore broadly written claims are disclosed by the references cited.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

. .. 0405

Art Unit: 2185

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 3. When responding to the office action, Applicant is advised to clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. He or she must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections. See 37 C.F.R. '1.111(c).
- 4. When responding to the office action, Applicants are advised to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hong C Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4181. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Art Unit: 2185

supervisor, Sanjiv Shah can be reached on (571) 272-4098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

7. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to TC-2100: (703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window (Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314).

H Kim Primary Patent Examiner April 12, 2007 12 Cm.